

# Florida Summit on Accelerating Transfer Success

## Proposal Rating Rubric

### (Review Committee Evaluation)

This rubric is adapted from NACADA Annual Conference Proposal Rating Rubric\* (NACADA, 2023). Presenters will submit proposals with no grammatical, or typographical errors. Please include complete descriptions with information on institution and/or presenters, background information, relevant theories and research (citations if necessary), overview of the presentation and description of the format. Proposals can include intended audience for their session. Proposals should also include participants' intended learning outcomes, relationship of program to the conference theme (2023: *Partnering with a Purpose*), methods of audience involvement and familiarity and background of the presenters with the subject matter.

Criteria	1 Does not meet Expectations	2 Below Expectations	3 Satisfactory	4 Good	5 Excellent
<b>Interest:</b> Would there be a high level of interest in this session?	This session would not be of interest / cannot identify an audience for this session.	Although an audience is identified, it is not clear why attendees would want to attend this session.	This session has a clear audience and general rationale why attendees would attend.	This session sounds as though it would prove interesting to clearly identifiable groups of attendees.	This session would be highly interesting. There would be a good audience for this session.
<b>Application:</b> Would these ideas be adaptable to other institutions?	The framework for this session seems limited to the institutional context.	This session could apply to certain institutions.	This session could be adapted to a number of institutions.	This session has the potential of being applicable to other institutions.	The session clearly is highly adaptable to various settings
<b>Clarity:</b> Are the abstract and purpose of the proposed session well articulated?	The goals and objectives of the session are not clear at all.	The goals of the session are clear, but it is not clear how the objectives will be met.	The goals and objectives of the session are mentioned.	The goals and objectives of the session are stated and explained.	The goals and objectives of the session are made abundantly clear and explained well.

\*Nacada >Home. NACADA. (n.d.). <https://nacada.ksu.edu/>

<p><b>Creativity:</b> Would this introduce new ideas, approaches, and concepts?</p>	<p>The session presents a topic that is often repeated and presents a fatigue factor</p>	<p>This session presents one or two new ideas that could be helpful.</p>	<p>This session offers a number of new ideas, approaches or concepts.</p>	<p>This session offers a new perspective along with new ideas, approaches or concepts.</p>	<p>This session (is transformative) and offers a novel approach</p>
<p><b>Relevance:</b> Is this topic relevant to current advising issues?</p>	<p>The subject matter is dated.</p>	<p>The subject matter contains a few ideas that are current.</p>	<p>The subject matter involves topics of current interest.</p>	<p>The subject matter is timely.</p>	<p>The subject matter is timely and offers advancement to the field of advising.</p>

\*Nacada >Home. NACADA. (n.d.). <https://nacada.ksu.edu/>